

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,661 02/09/2004		02/09/2004	David Silverglate	SLG 301CON	1437	
23581	7590	06/29/2004		EXAMINER		
KOLISCH	HARTV	VELL, P.C.	WONG, STEVEN B			
520 S.W. Y	AMHILL	STREET				
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PORTLAN	D, OR 9	7204	3711			
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/29/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	$-\Lambda\Lambda$				
		10/775,60		SILVERGLATE, DAV					
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit					
	•	Steven W		3711					
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati								
Period fo									
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the period for reply will, the set or extended period for reply will, the period by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. ' CFR 1.136(a) In no evation. ys, a reply within the stat y period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timety. om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n							
•	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
	closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex parte</i> Qı	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🔲	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-	·152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 1190	(a)-(d) or (f).					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •		age				
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
A40-b	*(a)								
Attachmen	τ(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date					
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date 2-9-04.		5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				
S Patent and T									

Application/Control Number: 10/775,661

Art Unit: 3711

Claims Rejections - 35 USC 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blankenburg et al.

 Note Figure 10 of Blankenburg showing a plurality of loop structures (92', 94') having

 cooperative mating surfaces disposed around the perimeter of each loop structure. The loop

 structure form the surface of the toy when the mating surfaces are coupled together.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson. Wilson provides a plurality of structures (102, 104) having cooperative mating surfaces disposed around a perimeter of each structure. Note column 3, lines 5 and 6 stating that a plurality of the structures may includes a removal hole (122). The inclusion of the removal hole allows those structures to be considered as loop structures.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/775,661

Art Unit: 3711

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,729,984. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims recite substantially similar structure to that provided in the claims of the patent.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is 703-308-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

SBW June 24, 2004